

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/767,364	01/22/2001	Trung Nguyen	SPLX.P0051	2537	
48947	7590 03/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	, JOHANSEN, AND AD	KERVEROS, JAMES C			
1875 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 1050 CENTURY CITY, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2133		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/11/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/767,364	NGUYEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAMES C KERVEROS	2133		

Potors the Filing of an Annual Priof							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	JAMES C KERVEROS	2133					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) . The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 22 February 2005. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
<u> </u>	but prior to the date of filing a brie	of will not be entered	because				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be 		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or			g the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s). 7 🔀 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a)	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:	Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The request for reconsideration has been entered and considered but does not overcome the rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 2/22/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claims 1-7, 9 and 10 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Straver et al. (US 4890066) in view of Kobayashi (US 6556535), and Claims 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Straver et al. (US 4890066) in view of Kobayashi (US 6556535), as applied to claims 6 and 9 above, and further in view of Shade et al. (US 4809554), as set forth in the present Office Action.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210